arXiv:1612.08322v1 [math.GT] 26 Dec 2016

A CHARACTERIZATION OF QUATERNIONIC KLEINIAN GROUPS IN DIMENSION 2 WITH COMPLEX TRACE FIELDS

SUNGWOON KIM AND JOONHYUNG KIM

Abstract. Let G be a non-elementary discrete subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}(2,1)$. We show that if the sum of diagonal entries of each element of G is a complex number, then G is conjugate to a subgroup of $\mathrm{U}(2,1)$.

1. Introduction

Given a Kleinian group G of $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{C})$, its trace field, denoted by $\mathbb{Q}(\mathrm{tr}G)$, is defined as the field generated by the traces of its elements. The trace fields have played an important role in studying arithmetic aspects of Kleinian groups. Neumann and Reid [10] have studied the trace fields of arithmetic lattices in $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{C})$. They showed that if G is a non-uniform arithmetic lattice, it is conjugate to a subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{Q}(\mathrm{tr}G))$.

Even if the notion of trace field was first defined for Kleinian groups in $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{C})$, it is possible to extend the notion to complex and quaternionic Kleinian groups. Indeed there have been a few studies concerning the trace fields of complex and quaternionic Kleinian groups. Most of studies on the trace fields of complex Kleinian groups have focused on extending the results in the case of $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{C})$ to $\mathrm{SU}(n,1)$. McReynolds [9] showed that the trace fields of complex Kleinian groups are commensurability invariants as for real Kleinian groups. Cunha-Gusevskii [1] and Genzmer [3] studied whether a discrete subgroup of $\mathrm{SU}(2,1)$ can be realized over its trace field.

A central theme in studying the trace fields of complex Kleinian groups is to characterize complex Kleinian groups with real trace fields. It turns out that any non-elementary complex Kleinian group with real trace field preserves a totally geodesic submanifold of constant negative sectional curvature in the complex hyperbolic space. Cunha-Gusevskii [1] and Fu-Li-Wang [2] proved this for Kleinian groups in $\mathrm{SU}(2,1)$, and then Kim-Kim [7] extended it to $\mathrm{SU}(3,1)$. Recently J. Kim and S. Kim [8] extended this result to $\mathrm{SU}(n,1)$ in general. Furthermore they showed that any non-elementary quaternionic Kleinian group with real trace field is also conjuate to a subgroup of either $\mathrm{SO}(n,1)$ or $\mathrm{SU}(1,1)$.

For quaternionic Kleinian groups, J. Kim [6] proved that if a non-elementary quaternionic Kleinian group G in $\mathrm{Sp}(3,1)$ has a loxodromic element fixing 0 and ∞, and the sum of diagonal entries of each element of G is real, then G preserves a totally geodesic submanifold of constant negative sectional

[1]2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 22E40, 30F40, 57S30.
[2]*Key words and phrases.* Quaternionic Kleinian group, trace field.
[3]This work was supported by the Project "PoINT" of Jeju National University in 2016.

curvature in the quaternionic hyperbolic space. Then the result is extended to general $\mathrm{Sp}(n,1)$ case by J. Kim and S. Kim [8].

The studies so far have focused on characterizing non-elementary discrete groups with real trace fields. It is very natural to ask what if the "real" is replaced with "complex". In this article, we give the answer for this question in the case of $\mathrm{Sp}(2,1)$. The main theorem is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $G < \mathrm{Sp}(2,1)$ be a non-elementary quaternionic Kleinian group containing a loxodromic element fixing 0 and ∞. If the sum of diagonal entries of each element of G is in $\mathbb{C}$, then G preserves a totally geodesic submanifold of $\mathbf{H}^2_{\mathbb{H}}$ that is isometric to $\mathbf{H}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$. In other words, G is conjugate to $\mathrm{U}(2,1)$.*

2. Quaternionic hyperbolic space

The materials of this chapter are borrowed from [6]. For basic notions, we refer [6] for the reader and for more information, see [5].

Let $\mathbb{H}^{2,1}$ be a quaternionic vector space of dimension 3 with a Hermitian form of signature $(2,1)$. An element of $\mathbb{H}^{2,1}$ is a column vector $p = (p_1, p_2, p_3)^t$. Throughout the paper, we choose the second Hermitian form on $\mathbb{H}^{2,1}$ given by a matrix

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus

$$\langle p, q\rangle = q^* J p = \bar{q}^t J p = \bar{q}_1 p_3 + \bar{q}_2 p_2 + \bar{q}_3 p_1,$$

where $p = (p_1, p_2, p_3)^t, q = (q_1, q_2, q_3)^t \in \mathbb{H}^{2,1}$.

One model of a quaternionic hyperbolic 2-space $\mathbf{H}^2_{\mathbb{H}}$, which matches this Hermitian form is the *Siegel domain* $\mathfrak{S}$. It is defined by identifying points of $\mathfrak{S}$ with their horospherical coordinates, $p = (\zeta, v, u) \in \mathbb{H} \times \mathrm{Im}(\mathbb{H}) \times \mathbb{R}_+$. The boundary of $\mathfrak{S}$ is given by $(\mathbb{H} \times \mathrm{Im}(\mathbb{H})) \cup \{\infty\}$, where ∞ is a distinguished point at infinity. Define a map $\psi : \overline{\mathfrak{S}} \to \mathbb{P}\mathbb{H}^{2,1}$ by

$$\psi : (\zeta, v, u) \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} -|\zeta|^2 - u + v \\ \sqrt{2}\zeta \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ for } (\zeta, v, u) \in \overline{\mathfrak{S}} - \{\infty\} \ ; \ \psi : \infty \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then ψ maps $\mathfrak{S}$ homeomorphically to the set of points p in $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{H}^{2,1}$ with $\langle p, p\rangle < 0$, and maps $\partial\mathfrak{S}$ homeomorphically to the set of points p in $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{H}^{2,1}$ with $\langle p, p\rangle = 0$. There is a metric on $\mathfrak{S}$ called the Bergman metric and the isometry group of $\mathbf{H}^2_{\mathbb{H}}$ with respect to this metric is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Sp}(2,1) &= \{A \in \mathrm{GL}(3,\mathbb{H}) : \langle p, p'\rangle = \langle Ap, Ap'\rangle, p, p' \in \mathbb{H}^{2,1}\} \\ &= \{A \in \mathrm{GL}(3,\mathbb{H}) : J = A^* J A\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $A : \mathbb{H}^{2,1} \to \mathbb{H}^{2,1}; x\mathbb{H} \mapsto (Ax)\mathbb{H}$ for $x \in \mathbb{H}^{2,1}$ and $A \in \mathrm{Sp}(2,1)$. As in [4], we adopt the convention that the action of $\mathrm{Sp}(2,1)$ on $\mathbf{H}^2_{\mathbb{H}}$ is left and the action of projectivization of $\mathrm{Sp}(2,1)$ is right action. If we write

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ g & h & l \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{PSp}(2,1),$$

A^{-1} is written as

$$A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{l} & \bar{f} & \bar{c} \\ \bar{h} & \bar{e} & \bar{b} \\ \bar{g} & \bar{d} & \bar{a} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{PSp}(2,1).$$

Then, from $AA^{-1} = A^{-1}A = I$, we get the following identities.

$$a\bar{l} + b\bar{h} + c\bar{g} = 1 \quad (1), \quad a\bar{f} + b\bar{e} + c\bar{d} = 0 \quad (2), \quad a\bar{c} + |b|^2 + c\bar{a} = 0 \quad (3),$$
$$d\bar{l} + e\bar{h} + f\bar{g} = 0 \quad (4), \quad d\bar{f} + |e|^2 + f\bar{d} = 1 \quad (5), \quad d\bar{c} + e\bar{b} + f\bar{a} = 0 \quad (6),$$
$$g\bar{l} + |h|^2 + l\bar{g} = 0 \quad (7), \quad g\bar{f} + h\bar{e} + l\bar{d} = 0 \quad (8), \quad g\bar{c} + h\bar{b} + l\bar{a} = 1 \quad (9),$$
$$\bar{l}a + \bar{f}d + \bar{c}g = 1 \quad (10), \quad \bar{l}b + \bar{f}e + \bar{c}h = 0 \quad (11), \quad \bar{l}c + |f|^2 + \bar{c}l = 0 \quad (12),$$
$$\bar{h}a + \bar{e}d + \bar{b}g = 0 \quad (13), \quad \bar{h}b + |e|^2 + \bar{b}h = 1 \quad (14), \quad \bar{h}c + \bar{e}f + \bar{b}l = 0 \quad (15),$$
$$\bar{g}a + |d|^2 + \bar{a}g = 0 \quad (16), \quad \bar{g}b + \bar{d}e + \bar{a}h = 0 \quad (17), \quad \bar{g}c + \bar{d}f + \bar{a}l = 1 \quad (18).$$

Remark 2.1. If $c = 0$, then $f = 0$ by (12) and hence A fixes $\mathbf{0} = [0,0,1]^t$. Similarly, if $g = 0$, then $d = 0$ by (16) and hence A fixes $\infty = [1,0,0]^t$.

Note that totally geodesic submanifolds of quaternionic hyperbolic 2-space are isometric to one of $\mathbf{H}^1_{\mathbb{H}}$, $\mathbf{H}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$, $\mathbf{H}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$, and $\mathbf{H}^2_{\mathbb{R}}$. The following proposition is essential in the proof of the main theorem.

Proposition 2.2. *For two nonzero quaternions a and b, if ab and ba are complex numbers, then a and b satisfy one of the following;*

(i) $a,\ b \in \mathbb{C}$
(ii) *a and b are of the form $a = a_*j$ and $b = b_*j$ for some $a_*, b_* \in \mathbb{C}$*
(iii) $b = r\bar{a}$ *for some* $r \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$.

Proof. Let $a = a_0 + a_1 i + a_2 j + a_3 k$ and $b = b_0 + b_1 i + b_2 j + b_3 k$. The j-part of ab and ba are $a_0b_2 + a_2b_0 + a_3b_1 - a_1b_3$ and $a_0b_2 + a_2b_0 - a_3b_1 + a_1b_3$ respectively. Since they should be zero, we have that $a_0b_2 + a_2b_0 = 0$ and $a_3b_1 - a_1b_3 = 0$. In a similar way, by considering k-parts instead of j-parts, it is deduced that $a_0b_3 + a_3b_0 = 0$ and $a_1b_2 - a_2b_1 = 0$.

When $a_0 \neq 0$, if $a_3 \neq 0$, from above identities, we get

$$b_3 = -\frac{b_0}{a_0}a_3,\ b_2 = -\frac{b_0}{a_0}a_2,\ b_1 = \frac{b_3}{a_3}a_1 = -\frac{1}{a_3}\frac{b_0}{a_0}a_3a_1 = -\frac{b_0}{a_0}a_1.$$

Hence $b = \frac{b_0}{a_0}\bar{a}$, that is, (iii) follows. When $a_0 \neq 0$, if $a_3 = 0$ and moreover $a_2 = 0$, then b_2 and b_3 should be zero by the above identities. This means that a and b are in $\mathbb{C}$. If $a_0 \neq 0$, $a_3 = 0$ and $a_2 \neq 0$, by the above identities, we have

$$b_3 = 0,\ b_2 = -\frac{b_0}{a_0}a_2,\ b_1 = \frac{b_2}{a_2}a_1 = -\frac{1}{a_2}\frac{b_0}{a_0}a_2a_1 = -\frac{b_0}{a_0}a_1.$$

Hence $b = \frac{b_0}{a_0}\bar{a}$.

Now we consider the case when $a_0 = 0$. If $b_0 \neq 0$, by the above identities, $a_2 = a_3 = 0$ and so $b_2 = b_3 = 0$. Hence a and b are in $\mathbb{C}$. If $b_0 = 0$, then a and b are purely imaginary. If $a_1 \neq 0$, then we get $b_3 = \frac{b_1}{a_1}a_3$ and $b_2 = \frac{b_1}{a_1}a_2$ from the above identities. Thus $b = \frac{b_1}{a_1}a = -\frac{b_1}{a_1}\bar{a}$. If $a_1 = 0$, then $a_3b_1 = a_2b_1 = 0$ by the above identities. If $b_1 \neq 0$, then $a_2 = a_3 = 0$ and thus $a = 0$. This contradicts to the assumption $a \neq 0$. Hence $b_1 = 0$. In

this case, a and b are of the form $a = a_*j$ and $b = b_*j$ for some $a_*, b_* \in \mathbb{C}$. Therefore we complete the proof. □

The next lemma is quite elementary and the proof is easy by a straight computation.

Lemma 2.3. *For a quaternion q, if $qi\bar{q}$ and $\bar{q}iq$ are complex numbers, then either $q \in \mathbb{C}$ or q is of the form $q = q_*j$ for some $q_* \in \mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. Let $q = q_0 + q_1i + q_2j + q_3k$ for $q_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Then by a straight computation, we have

$$qi\bar{q} = (q_0^2 + q_1^2 - q_2^2 - q_3^2)i + 2(q_0q_3 + q_1q_2)j - 2(q_0q_2 - q_1q_3)k \in \mathbb{C},$$

$$\bar{q}iq = (q_0^2 + q_1^2 - q_2^2 - q_3^2)i - 2(q_0q_3 - q_1q_2)j + 2(q_0q_2 + q_1q_3)k \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Hence, if $qi\bar{q}$ and $\bar{q}iq$ are complex numbers, it follows that

$$q_0q_3 = q_1q_2 = q_0q_2 = q_1q_3 = 0.$$

If $q \notin \mathbb{C}$, then $q_0 = q_1 = 0$ and thus the lemma follows. □

3. Proof of the main Theorem

Let G be a non-elementary discrete subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}(2,1)$ in which the sum of the diagonal entries of each element of G is a complex number. Let A be a loxodromic element of G fixing 0 and ∞, B be an arbitrary element in G. In terms of matrices, we write A and B as

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda\mu & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \nu & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\mu}{\lambda} \end{bmatrix}, \; B = \begin{bmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ g & h & l \end{bmatrix}, \tag{19}$$

where $\mu, \nu \in \mathrm{Sp}(1)$ and $\lambda > 1$. For more detail, see [4] or [5].

Lemma 3.1. *The matrix A of G fixing 0 and ∞ is an element of $\mathrm{U}(2,1)$. In other words, $\mu, \nu \in \mathrm{U}(1)$.*

Proof. For a matrix X, we denote by $tr(X)$ the sum of the diagonal entries of X. Let $\mu = \mu_0 + \mu_1i + \mu_2j + \mu_3k$ and $\nu = \nu_0 + \nu_1i + \nu_2j + \nu_3k$ for $\mu_t, \nu_t \in \mathbb{R}$ where $t = 0, 1, 2, 3$. Then

$$tr(A) = (\lambda + 1/\lambda)(\mu_0 + \mu_1i + \mu_2j + \mu_3k) + (\nu_0 + \nu_1i + \nu_2j + \nu_3k) \in \mathbb{C},$$

and hence

$$(\lambda + 1/\lambda)\mu_t + \nu_t = 0 \text{ for } t = 2, 3. \tag{20}$$

Furthermore, considering

$$\begin{aligned} tr(A^2) &= (\lambda^2 + 1/\lambda^2)\mu^2 + \nu^2 \\ &= (\lambda^2 + 1/\lambda^2)(\mu_0^2 - \mu_1^2 - \mu_2^2 - \mu_3^2 + 2\mu_0\mu_1i + 2\mu_0\mu_2j + 2\mu_0\mu_3k) \\ &\quad + (\nu_0^2 - \nu_1^2 - \nu_2^2 - \nu_3^2 + 2\nu_0\nu_1i + 2\nu_0\nu_2j + 2\nu_0\nu_3k) \in \mathbb{C}, \end{aligned}$$

we have that for $t = 2, 3$,

$$(\lambda^2 + 1/\lambda^2)\mu_0\mu_t + \nu_0\nu_t = \mu_t[(\lambda^2 + 1/\lambda^2)\mu_0 - (\lambda + 1/\lambda)\nu_0] = 0. \tag{21}$$

If $\mu_2 = \mu_3 = 0$, Equation (20) implies that $\nu_2 = \nu_3 = 0$. Then $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{C}$ and so $\mu, \nu \in \mathrm{U}(1)$.
From now on, we assume that $\mu_2 \neq 0$ or $\mu_3 \neq 0$. By Equation (21),

$$(\lambda^2 + 1/\lambda^2)\mu_0 - (\lambda + 1/\lambda)\nu_0 = 0, \tag{22}$$

and we can write

$$\nu = \frac{\lambda^4 + 1}{\lambda(\lambda^2 + 1)}\mu_0 + \nu_1 i - \left(\lambda + \frac{1}{\lambda}\right)(\mu_2 j + \mu_3 k).$$

Now let us consider A^4. Then

$$\begin{aligned} tr(A^4) &= \left(\lambda^4 + \frac{1}{\lambda^4}\right)\mu^4 + \nu^4 \\ &= \left(\lambda^4 + \frac{1}{\lambda^4}\right)(\mu_0 + \mu_1 i + \mu_2 j + \mu_3 k)^4 \\ &+ \left(\frac{\lambda^4 + 1}{\lambda(\lambda^2 + 1)}\mu_0 + \nu_1 i - \left(\lambda + \frac{1}{\lambda}\right)(\mu_2 j + \mu_3 k)\right)^4 \in \mathbb{C}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mu, \nu \in \mathrm{Sp}(1)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\mu_0^2 + \mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2 + \mu_3^2 = 1, \\ &|\nu|^2 = \left(\frac{\lambda^4 + 1}{\lambda(\lambda^2 + 1)}\mu_0\right)^2 + \nu_1^2 + \left(\lambda + \frac{1}{\lambda}\right)^2(\mu_2^2 + \mu_3^2) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Using these identities and calculating the j-part of $tr(A^4)$, we have that

$$\frac{4\mu_0\mu_2(\lambda^2 - 1)(\lambda^6 - 1)(4\lambda^2\mu_0^2 - (\lambda^2 + 1)^2)}{\lambda^4(\lambda^2 + 1)^2} = 0.$$

Since $\lambda > 1$ and $0 \leq \mu_0^2 < 1$, it follows that $\mu_0\mu_2 = 0$. By repeating the same argument for the k-part of $tr(A^4)$, one gets $\mu_0\mu_3 = 0$. Since $\mu_2 \neq 0$ or $\mu_3 \neq 0$, $\mu_0 = 0$ and hence $\nu_0 = 0$ by (22). That is, μ and ν are purely imaginary, and so $\bar{\mu} = -\mu$ and $\bar{\nu} = -\nu$. Since $|\mu| = |\nu| = 1$, we know that $\mu^3 = -\mu$ and $\nu^3 = -\nu$. If we write $\nu = \nu_1 i - (\lambda + 1/\lambda)(\mu_2 j + \mu_3 k)$ as before, since

$$tr(A^3) = \left(\lambda^3 + \frac{1}{\lambda^3}\right)\mu^3 + \nu^3 = -\left(\lambda^3 + \frac{1}{\lambda^3}\right)\mu - \nu \in \mathbb{C},$$

the j-part of $tr(A^3)$ is zero, i.e.,

$$-\left(\lambda^3 + \frac{1}{\lambda^3}\right)\mu_2 + \left(\lambda + \frac{1}{\lambda}\right)\mu_2 = -\left(\lambda + \frac{1}{\lambda}\right)\left(\lambda - \frac{1}{\lambda}\right)^2\mu_2 = 0.$$

Since $\lambda > 1$, we have $\mu_2 = 0$. Similarly, considering the k-part of $tr(A^3)$, we also get $\mu_3 = 0$. This contradicts to the assumption that $\mu_2 \neq 0$ or $\mu_3 \neq 0$. Therefore $\mu_2 = \mu_3 = 0$ and thus $\mu, \nu \in \mathrm{Sp}(1) \cap \mathbb{C} = \mathrm{U}(1)$. □

According to Lemma 3.1, A is written as

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda e^{i\theta} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-2i\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\lambda}e^{i\theta} \end{bmatrix}, \ \lambda > 1, \ \theta \in [0, 2\pi).$$

Lemma 3.2. *For any element $B \in G$, every diagonal entry of B is a complex number.*

Proof. Let B be the matrix

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ g & h & l \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since the sum of the diagonal entries of every element of G is in $\mathbb{C}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} tr(B) &= a + e + l \in \mathbb{C}, \\ tr(AB) &= \lambda e^{i\theta} a + e^{-2i\theta} e + \frac{e^{i\theta}}{\lambda} l \in \mathbb{C}, \\ tr(A^{-1}B) &= \frac{e^{-i\theta}}{\lambda} a + e^{2i\theta} e + \lambda e^{-i\theta} l \in \mathbb{C}. \end{aligned}$$

Solving for a, e, and l, we conclude that $a, e, l \in \mathbb{C}$. This shows that every element of G has complex diagonal entries. □

Lemma 3.3. *Let A, B_1 and B_2 be elements of G and*

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda e^{i\theta} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-2i\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\lambda} e^{i\theta} \end{bmatrix}, B_1 = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & b_1 & c_1 \\ d_1 & e_1 & f_1 \\ g_1 & h_1 & l_1 \end{bmatrix}, B_2 = \begin{bmatrix} a_2 & b_2 & c_2 \\ d_2 & e_2 & f_2 \\ g_2 & h_2 & l_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

for some $\lambda > 1$, $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. Then $b_1 d_2, c_1 g_2, d_1 b_2, f_1 h_2, g_1 c_2, h_1 f_2$ are all complex numbers. Furthermore $b_1 i d_2, c_1 i g_2, d_1 i b_2, f_1 i h_2, g_1 i c_2, h_1 i f_2$ are complex numbers unless $\theta \equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{\pi}{2}}$.

Proof. Since B_1 and B_2 are in G, we know that $a_1, e_1, l_1, a_2, e_2, l_2$ are all complex numbers by Lemma 3.2. Consider the elements $B_1 A B_2$, $B_1 A^2 B_2$, $B_1 A^3 B_2$, $B_1 A^4 B_2 \in G$ and then their $(1,1)$-entries:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda e^{i\theta} a_1 a_2 + b_1 e^{-2i\theta} d_2 + c_1 e^{i\theta} g_2 / \lambda, \\ \lambda^2 e^{2i\theta} a_1 a_2 + b_1 e^{-4i\theta} d_2 + c_1 e^{2i\theta} g_2 / \lambda^2, \\ \lambda^3 e^{3i\theta} a_1 a_2 + b_1 e^{-6i\theta} d_2 + c_1 e^{3i\theta} g_2 / \lambda^3, \\ \lambda^4 e^{4i\theta} a_1 a_2 + b_1 e^{-8i\theta} d_2 + c_1 e^{4i\theta} g_2 / \lambda^4. \end{aligned}$$

These are also all complex numbers by Lemma 3.2. Since $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{C}$, the following are all complex numbers as well.

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \cos 2\theta (b_1 d_2) - \lambda \sin 2\theta (b_1 i d_2) + \cos\theta (c_1 g_2) + \sin\theta (c_1 i g_2) = z_1, \\ \lambda^2 \cos 4\theta (b_1 d_2) - \lambda^2 \sin 4\theta (b_1 i d_2) + \cos 2\theta (c_1 g_2) + \sin 2\theta (c_1 i g_2) = z_2, \\ \lambda^3 \cos 6\theta (b_1 d_2) - \lambda^3 \sin 6\theta (b_1 i d_2) + \cos 3\theta (c_1 g_2) + \sin 3\theta (c_1 i g_2) = z_3, \\ \lambda^4 \cos 8\theta (b_1 d_2) - \lambda^4 \sin 8\theta (b_1 i d_2) + \cos 4\theta (c_1 g_2) + \sin 4\theta (c_1 i g_2) = z_4. \end{aligned}$$

Solving for $b_1 d_2, b_1 i d_2, c_1 g_2, c_1 i g_2$, we get that they are all complex numbers unless $\theta \equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{\pi}{2}}$. It can be easily checked that $b_1 d_2, c_1 g_2$ are still complex numbers when $\theta \equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{\pi}{2}}$. Hence we can conclude that $b_1 d_2, c_1 g_2$ are complex numbers. Similarly, from the other diagonal entries of $B_1 A B_2$, $B_1 A^2 B_2$, $B_1 A^3 B_2$, $B_1 A^4 B_2 \in G$, one can show the Lemma. □

Applying Lemma 3.3 to $B_1 = B_2 = B$ and $B_1 = B, B_2 = B^{-1}$(or $B_2 = B, B_1 = B^{-1}$), we immediately have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.4. *Let B be the matrices written in (19). Then,*

(a) *bd, db, fh, hf, cg, gc, $b\bar{h}$, $f\bar{d}$, $c\bar{g}$, $\bar{h}b$, $\bar{d}f$, $\bar{g}c \in \mathbb{C}$.*
(b) *bid, dib, fih, hif, cig, gic, $bi\bar{h}$, $fi\bar{d}$, $ci\bar{g}$, $\bar{h}ib$, $\bar{d}if$, $\bar{g}ic \in \mathbb{C}$ unless $\theta \equiv 0$ (mod $\frac{\pi}{2}$).*

Let B be an arbitrary element of G which are not a power of A. Let B be the matrix in (19). Suppose that $cg = 0$. Then B fixes either 0 or ∞ (see Remark 2.1). This means that A and B have a common fixed point. However this is impossible since G is discrete. Hence $cg \neq 0$.

3.1. **The $bd \neq 0$ case.** We will first deal with the case that there exists an element B of G with $bd \neq 0$. Throughout this section, we assume that $bd \neq 0$. As seen in Corollary 3.4, both bd and db are complex numbers. Applying Proposition 2.2 for b and d, one of the following holds.

(i) b, $d \in \mathbb{C}$
(ii) b and d are of the form $b = b_*j$ and $d = d_*j$, where $b_*, d_* \in \mathbb{C}$
(iii) $d = r\bar{b}$ for some $r \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$

We will consider these cases separately as follow.

Case 1. Suppose that $b, d \in \mathbb{C}$. Since $b\bar{h}, \bar{h}b \in \mathbb{C}$ and b is nonzero, $h \in \mathbb{C}$. Similarly, since $f\bar{d}, \bar{d}f \in \mathbb{C}$ and d is nonzero, $f \in \mathbb{C}$. Then, from Equation (2) and (13), it can be seen that c and g are also complex numbers. Thus every entry of B is a complex number and hence $B \in \mathrm{U}(2,1)$.

Let B' be any other element of G which is not a power of A. Let

$$B' = \begin{bmatrix} a' & b' & c' \\ d' & e' & f' \\ g' & h' & l' \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then, by Lemma 3.2, we know that $a', e', l' \in \mathbb{C}$. Furthermore, by Lemma 3.3, we have that

$$bd', db', b\bar{h}', f'\bar{d}, cg', gc' \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Since b and d are nonzero complex numbers, it follows that $b', d', h', f' \in \mathbb{C}$. Moreover from Equation (2) and (13), it follows that $c', g' \in \mathbb{C}$. Thus every entry of B' is a complex number, i.e. $B' \in \mathrm{U}(2,1)$. Therefore G is a subgroup of $\mathrm{U}(2,1)$, which preserves a copy of $\mathbf{H}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$ in $\mathbf{H}^2_{\mathbb{H}}$.

Case 2. Now we suppose that $b = b_*j$ and $d = d_*j$ for some $b_*, d_* \in \mathbb{C}$. Since $b\bar{h}, \bar{h}b \in \mathbb{C}$ by Corollary 3.4 and b is nonzero, $h = h_*j$ for some $h_* \in \mathbb{C}$. In the same way, since $f\bar{d}, \bar{d}f \in \mathbb{C}$ and d is nonzero, $f = f_*j$ for some $f_* \in \mathbb{C}$. Furthermore, by Equation (2), we have that

$$a\bar{f} + b\bar{e} + c\bar{d} = -af_*j + b_*j\bar{e} - cd_*j.$$

Since e is a complex number, $j\bar{e} = ej$. Hence

$$-af_*j + b_*j\bar{e} - cd_*j = (-af_* + b_*e - cd_*)j = 0.$$

Due to $d_* \neq 0$, we conclude that c is a complex number. Similarly, from Equation (13), it can be derived that $g \in \mathbb{C}$. To summarize, $a, e, l, c, g \in \mathbb{C}$

and b, d, f, h are of the form q_*j where $q_* \in \mathbb{C}$. Then, for $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$A \begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 j \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda e^{i\theta} z_1 \\ e^{-2i\theta} z_2 j \\ \frac{1}{\lambda} e^{i\theta} \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} z_1' \\ z_2' j \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

for some $z_1', z_2' \in \mathbb{C}$ and

$$B \begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 j \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b_* j & c \\ d_* j & e & f_* j \\ g & h_* j & l \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 j \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a z_1 + b_* j z_2 j + c \\ d_* j z_1 + e z_2 j + f_* j \\ g z_1 + h_* j z_2 j + l \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} a z_1 - b_* \bar{z_2} + c \\ (d_* \bar{z_1} + e z_2 + f_*) j \\ g z_1 - h_* \bar{z_2} + l \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} z_1'' \\ z_2'' j \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

for some $z_1'', z_2'' \in \mathbb{C}$. Note that $\begin{bmatrix} z_1 & z_2 j & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} z_1 & j\bar{z_2} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ for $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. Hence A and B leave invariant a copy of $\mathbf{H}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$ of polar vectors $\begin{bmatrix} z_1 & j z_2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^t$, where $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$.

Let B' be any other element of G which are not a power of A and let

$$B' = \begin{bmatrix} a' & b' & c' \\ d' & e' & f' \\ g' & h' & l' \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then, $a', e', l' \in \mathbb{C}$ by Lemma 3.2. Applying Lemma 3.3 to B and B', one can conclude that bd', $d'b$, $b'd$, $db' \in \mathbb{C}$. Then, by Proposition 2.2, b' and d' are of the form q_*j where $q_* \in \mathbb{C}$ since b, d are of the form q_*j for $q_* \in \mathbb{C}$. By a similar argument, one can show that f' and h' are of the same form q_*j. Moreover $c', g' \in \mathbb{C}$ because $cg', gc' \in \mathbb{C}$ by Lemma 3.3 and $cg \neq 0$. Therefore B' is of the same form as B and we conclude that every element of G preserves a copy of $\mathbf{H}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$ consisting of $\begin{bmatrix} z_1 & j z_2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^t$, where $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$.

Case 3. Lastly suppose that $d = r\bar{b}$. To avoid repetition, we will assume that b and d are neither complex numbers nor of the form $b = b_*j$ and $d = d_*j$ for $b_*, d_* \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $d = r_1\bar{b}$ for some $r_1 \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$. By Corollary 3.4, we have that $f\bar{d}$, $\bar{d}f$, $b\bar{h}$, $\bar{h}b \in \mathbb{C}$. Applying Proposition 2.2 to $f, \bar{d}$ and $b, \bar{h}$ respectively, it can be easily seen that $f = r_2' d = r_2' r_1 \bar{b} = r_2 \bar{b}$ and $h = r_3 b$ for some $r_2, r_3 \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$. From (5) and (14),

$$2r_1 r_2 |b|^2 = 2r_3 |b|^2 = 1 - |e|^2,$$

and thus,

$$r_3 = r_1 r_2 = \frac{1 - |e|^2}{2|b|^2} \text{ and } h = r_1 r_2 b.$$

Moreover using (2), (4), (11), (13), we have the following equations:

$$r_2 ab + r_1 cb + b\bar{e} = 0, \qquad r_1 lb + r_2 gb + r_1 r_2 b\bar{e} = 0,$$
$$r_1 r_2 \bar{c} b + \bar{l} b + r_2 be = 0, \qquad r_1 r_2 \bar{a} b + \bar{g} b + r_1 be = 0.$$

These equations are written as

$$-r_1 r_2 b\bar{e} = r_1 r_2^2 ab + r_1^2 r_2 cb = r_1 lb + r_2 gb,$$
$$-r_1 r_2 be = r_1^2 r_2 \bar{c} b + r_1 \bar{l} b = r_1 r_2^2 \bar{a} b + r_2 \bar{g} b.$$

Since $b \neq 0$, the above equations are simplified in the following way.

$$r_1 r_2^2 a + r_1^2 r_2 c = r_1 l + r_2 g,$$
$$r_1 r_2^2 a - r_1^2 r_2 c = r_1 l - r_2 g.$$

Hence we finally get that $r_1 r_2^2 a = r_1 l$ and $r_1^2 r_2 c = r_2 g$, i.e. $l = r_2^2 a, g = r_1^2 c$ since $r_1, r_2 \neq 0$. Now B is written as

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} a & b & c \\ r_1\bar{b} & e & r_2\bar{b} \\ r_1^2 c & r_1 r_2 b & r_2^2 a \end{bmatrix}, \text{ where } a, e \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}. \tag{23}$$

Since $cg = r_1^2 c^2 \in \mathbb{C}$ by Corollary 3.4, either $c \in \mathbb{C}$ or c is purely imaginary. Before considering these two cases, note that $\theta \equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{\pi}{2}}$. If $\theta \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{\pi}{2}}$, then $bid = r_1 bi\bar{b} \in \mathbb{C}$ and $dib = r_1 \bar{b}ib \in \mathbb{C}$ by Corollary 3.4. Hence, by Lemma 2.3, either $b \in \mathbb{C}$ or $b = b_* j$ for $b_* \in \mathbb{C}$, which contradicts to our assumption.

Case 3.1 : $c \in \mathbb{C}$

From (11), $(r_2\bar{a} + r_1\bar{c})b + be = 0$ and thus we have that

$$e = -\frac{\bar{b}(r_2\bar{a} + r_1\bar{c})b}{|b|^2} \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Writing $a = a_0 + a_1 i, c = c_0 + c_1 i$ and $b = b_0 + b_1 i + b_2 j + b_3 k$, the j-part and the k-part of $-\bar{b}(r_2\bar{a} + r_1\bar{c})b$ are $2(r_2 a_1 + r_1 c_1)(b_1 b_2 - b_0 b_3)$ and $2(r_2 a_1 + r_1 a_1)(b_0 b_2 + b_1 b_3)$ respectively, and they should be zero for $e \in \mathbb{C}$.

Claim : $e \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof of the claim. It is sufficient to prove that $r_2\bar{a} + r_1\bar{c}$ is a real number. Suppose not, i.e. $\mathrm{Im}(r_2\bar{a} + r_1\bar{c}) = -(r_2 a_1 + r_1 c_1) \neq 0$. Then by the above argument,

$$b_1 b_2 = b_0 b_3 \text{ and } b_0 b_2 = -b_1 b_3. \tag{24}$$

If $b_2 = 0$, then $b_3 \neq 0$ since $b \notin \mathbb{C}$. Then it follows from (24) that $b_0 = b_1 = 0$. However, this contradicts to the assumption that b is not of the form $b_* j$, where $b_* \in \mathbb{C}$. Hence $b_2 \neq 0$. In a similar way, it can be easily shown that $b_3 \neq 0$. If $b_0 = 0$, then $b_1 \neq 0$ since b is not of the form $b_* j$ where $b_* \in \mathbb{C}$ and then $b_2 = b_3 = 0$ by (24), i.e. $b \in \mathbb{C}$. Again this contradicts to the assumption that b is a complex number. Hence $b_0 \neq 0$. In a similar way, it can be seen that $b_1 \neq 0$. Therefore b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3 are all nonzero. From (24), it holds that $b_0 b_1 b_2^2 = -b_0 b_1 b_3^2$ and thus $b_2^2 = -b_3^2$. However it is impossible for any nonzero real numbers b_2, b_3. Therefore we conclude that $\mathrm{Im}(r_2\bar{a} + r_1\bar{c}) = 0$ and thus $r_2\bar{a} + r_1\bar{c}$ is a real number, which completes the proof. □

Since $\theta \equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{\pi}{2}}$ as mentioned before, $e^{-2i\pi} \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, for any $z_1, z_2, z_3 \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$A \begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ \bar{b}z_2 \\ z_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda e^{i\theta} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-2i\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\lambda} e^{i\theta} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ \bar{b}z_2 \\ z_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} z_1' \\ \bar{b}z_2' \\ z_3' \end{bmatrix}$$

for some $z_1', z_2', z_3' \in \mathbb{C}$. In addition,

$$B\begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ \bar{b}z_2 \\ z_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b & c \\ r_1\bar{b} & e & r_2\bar{b} \\ r_1^2 c & r_1 r_2 b & r_2^2 a \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ \bar{b}z_2 \\ z_3 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} az_1 + |b|^2 z_2 + cz_3 \\ \bar{b}(r_1 z_1 + ez_2 + r_2 z_3) \\ r_1^2 cz_1 + r_1 r_2 |b|^2 z_2 + r_2^2 az_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} z_1'' \\ \bar{b}z_2'' \\ z_3'' \end{bmatrix}$$

for some $z_1'', z_2'', z_3'' \in \mathbb{C}$.

Let B' be any other element of G which are not a power of A. By applying Lemma 3.3 to B and B' as in the previous case, one can check that B' has the same form as B, i.e.

$$B' = \begin{bmatrix} a' & b' & c' \\ r_3\bar{b'} & e' & r_4\bar{b'} \\ r_3^2 c' & r_3 r_4 b' & r_4^2 a' \end{bmatrix}, \text{ where } a', c' \in \mathbb{C}, e' \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } r_3, r_4 \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}.$$

Then, considering the diagonal entries of $B'B$, it follows that

$$a'a + r_1 b'\bar{b} + r_1^2 c'c \in \mathbb{C},$$
$$r_1\bar{b}b' + ee' + r_2 r_3 r_4 \bar{b}b' \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Since $a, a', c, c' \in \mathbb{C}$, $e, e' \in \mathbb{R}$ and $r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4 \neq 0$, we have that $b'\bar{b} \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\bar{b}b' \in \mathbb{C}$. Applying Proposition 2.2 for $\bar{b}$ and b', we have $b' = rb$ for some $r \in \mathbb{R}$ since b is neither a complex number nor of the form $b = b_* j$ for some $b_* \in \mathbb{C}$. Hence

$$B' = \begin{bmatrix} a' & rb & c' \\ r_3 r\bar{b} & e' & r_4 r\bar{b} \\ r_3^2 c' & r_3 r_4 rb & r_4^2 a' \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then it is easy to see that B' also preserves a copy of $\mathbf{H}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$ of polar vectors $\begin{bmatrix} z_1 & \bar{b}z_2 & z_3 \end{bmatrix}^t$. Therefore we conclude that G preserves a copy of $\mathbf{H}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$ of polar vectors $\begin{bmatrix} z_1 & \bar{b}z_2 & z_3 \end{bmatrix}^t$, where $z_1, z_2, z_3 \in \mathbb{C}$.

Case 3.2 : c is purely imaginary

Now we suppose that the previous case does not happen for any element of G. Hence assume that c is not a complex number.

Claim. $r_2 = -1$.

Proof of Claim. Putting $a = a_0 + a_1 i$ and $c = c_1 i + c_2 j + c_3 k$, the identity $a\bar{c} + |b|^2 + c\bar{a} = 0$ of (3) implies that

$$|b|^2 + 2a_1 c_1 = 0.$$

By a straight computation, the $(1,2)$-entry of B^2 is

$$ab + be + r_1 r_2 cb.$$

From Equation (11), we have that $be = -r_2\bar{a}b - r_1\bar{c}b = -r_2\bar{a}b + r_1 cb$. The last equation follows from the assumption that c is purely imaginary. Then the $(1,2)$-entry of B^2 is written as

$$ab + be + r_1 r_2 cb = (a - r_2\bar{a} + r_1(r_2 + 1)c)b.$$

Note that a is a complex number, c is purely imaginary and $b \neq 0$. Hence if $r_2 \neq -1$, the $(1,2)$-entry of B^2 can never be zero. In a similar way, one can see that the $(2,1)$-entry of B^2 is also nonzero. Hence the $(1,3)$-entry of B^2 must be purely imaginary but not a complex number since we assume that the previous case does not happen. The $(1,3)$-entry of B^2 is $ac+r_2|b|^2+r_2^2ca$ and hence its real part is computed as

$$2\mathrm{Re}(ac + r_2|b|^2 + r_2^2ca) = 2(-a_1c_1 + r_2|b|^2 - r_2^2a_1c_1) = |b|^2(r_2+1)^2 = 0.$$

Since $|b| \neq 0$, it follows that $r_2 = -1$. This contradicts to the assumption that $r_2 \neq -1$. Therefore we conclude that $r_2 = -1$. □

Now B is written as

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} a & b & c \\ r_1\bar{b} & e & -\bar{b} \\ r_1^2c & -r_1b & a \end{bmatrix}, \text{ where } a, e \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } r_1 \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}. \tag{25}$$

We look at the matrix BA.

$$\begin{aligned} BA &= \begin{bmatrix} a & b & c \\ r_1\bar{b} & e & -\bar{b} \\ r_1^2c & -r_1b & a \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda e^{i\theta} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-2i\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\lambda}e^{i\theta} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \lambda ae^{i\theta} & be^{-2i\theta} & ce^{i\theta}/\lambda \\ \lambda r_1\bar{b}e^{i\theta} & ee^{-2i\theta} & -\bar{b}e^{i\theta}/\lambda \\ \lambda r_1^2ce^{i\theta} & -r_1be^{-2i\theta} & ae^{i\theta}/\lambda \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\theta \equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{\pi}{2}}$ and so $e^{-2i\theta} \in \mathbb{R}$, the $(1,2)$-entry of BA is neither a complex number nor of the form q_*j for $q_* \in \mathbb{C}$. Hence BA is of the same form as B in (25). Then the modulus of the $(1,2)$-entry of BA should equal to the modulus of the $(2,3)$-entry of BA. Hence, we have that

$$|be^{-2i\theta}| = \left|\frac{-\bar{b}e^{i\theta}}{\lambda}\right|, \ |b| = \frac{|b|}{\lambda} \text{ and so } \lambda = 1.$$

However, this contradicts to the assumption that $\lambda > 1$. Therefore the case that c is purely imaginary and not a complex number can never happen.

3.2. **The $bd = 0$ case.** We look at the case that there exists an element B of G with $bd \neq 0$ so far. From now on, we consider the remaining case that every element of G satisfies $bd = 0$. If $bd = 0$, by considering B^{-1}, we also have $fh = 0$. Then, using the identities (1)–(18), it can be easily checked that $b = d = f = h = 0$. For example, if $b = f = 0$, by (6), $d = 0$ because $c \neq 0$. Then, by (15), $h = 0$. Therefore every element of G is of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 & c \\ 0 & e & 0 \\ g & 0 & l \end{bmatrix}, \text{ where } a, e, l \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Applying Proposition 2.2 for c and g, since $c, g \neq 0$, one of the following holds.

(i) c, $g \in \mathbb{C}$
(ii) c and g are of the form $c = c_*j$ and $g = g_*j$ where $c_*, g_* \in \mathbb{C}$
(iii) $g = r\bar{c}$ for some $r \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$

First, if c, $g \in \mathbb{C}$, then $B \in \mathrm{U}(2,1)$. For any other element

$$B' = \begin{bmatrix} a' & 0 & c' \\ 0 & e' & 0 \\ g' & 0 & l' \end{bmatrix} \in G, \text{ where } a', e', l' \in \mathbb{C}, \tag{26}$$

$c', g' \in \mathbb{C}$ since $cg', gc' \in \mathbb{C}$ by Lemma 3.3. Hence $B' \in \mathrm{U}(2,1)$. This implies that G is a subgroup of $\mathrm{U}(2,1)$.

Second, if c and g are of the form $c = c_* j$ and $g = g_* j$ where $c_*, g_* \in \mathbb{C}$, for $z_1, z_2, z_3 \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$A \begin{bmatrix} z_1 j \\ z_2 \\ z_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda e^{i\theta} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-2i\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\lambda} e^{i\theta} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z_1 j \\ z_2 \\ z_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda e^{i\theta} z_1 j \\ e^{-2i\theta} z_2 \\ \frac{1}{\lambda} e^{i\theta} z_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} z_1' j \\ z_2' \\ z_3' \end{bmatrix},$$

$$B \begin{bmatrix} z_1 j \\ z_2 \\ z_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 & c \\ 0 & e & 0 \\ g & 0 & l \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z_1 j \\ z_2 \\ z_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a z_1 j + c z_3 \\ e z_2 \\ g z_1 j + l z_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} z_1'' j \\ z_2'' \\ z_3'' \end{bmatrix},$$

for some $z_1', z_2', z_3', z_1'', z_2'', z_3'' \in \mathbb{C}$. Hence, A and B leave invariant a copy of $\mathbf{H}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$ of polar vectors $\begin{bmatrix} z_1 j & z_2 & z_3 \end{bmatrix}^t$, where $z_1, z_2, z_3 \in \mathbb{C}$.

For any other element $B' \in G$ of the form (26), since $cg', gc' \in \mathbb{C}$ by Lemma 3.3, c', g' are also of the form $c' = c_*' j$, $g' = g_*' j$ for c_*', $g_*' \in \mathbb{C}$. Therefore, every element of G preserves a copy of $\mathbf{H}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$ of polar vectors $\begin{bmatrix} z_1 j & z_2 & z_3 \end{bmatrix}^t$, where $z_1, z_2, z_3 \in \mathbb{C}$.

Lastly, in the case that $g = r\bar{c}$ for some $r \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$, we assume that neither $c \in \mathbb{C}$ nor c is of the form $c = c_* j$ for $c_* \in \mathbb{C}$ to avoid repetition. From (1), we have that $a\bar{l} + rc^2 = 1$ and so $c^2 \in \mathbb{C}$. Then c should be purely imaginary because $c \notin \mathbb{C}$. By (3), we have $\mathrm{Re}(ca) = 0$, so $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, for $z_1, z_2, z_3 \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$B \begin{bmatrix} c z_1 \\ z_2 \\ z_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 & c \\ 0 & e & 0 \\ r\bar{c} & 0 & l \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c z_1 \\ z_2 \\ z_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c(a z_1 + z_3) \\ e z_2 \\ r|c|^2 z_1 + l z_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c z_1' \\ z_2' \\ z_3' \end{bmatrix},$$

for some $z_1', z_2', z_3' \in \mathbb{C}$.

Claim. $\theta \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi}$.

Proof of Claim. The $(1,1)$-entry of BAB is a complex number, i.e.

$$\lambda e^{i\theta} a^2 + \frac{r c e^{i\theta} \bar{c}}{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Since $\lambda e^{i\theta} a^2 \in \mathbb{C}$, $c e^{i\theta} \bar{c} = |c|^2 \cos\theta - (cic) \sin\theta \in \mathbb{C}$. Then, if $\theta \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\pi}$, $cic \in \mathbb{C}$. Then, by Lemma 2.3, either $c \in \mathbb{C}$ or $c = c_* j$ for $c_* \in \mathbb{C}$. This contradicts to our assumption. Thus $\theta \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi}$. □

Due to the claim above, A is written as

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \pm\lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \pm\frac{1}{\lambda} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then, for $z_1, z_2, z_3 \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$A \begin{bmatrix} cz_1 \\ z_2 \\ z_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \pm c\lambda z_1 \\ z_2 \\ \pm \frac{1}{\lambda} z_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} cz_1' \\ z_2' \\ z_3' \end{bmatrix},$$

for some $z_1', z_2', z_3' \in \mathbb{C}$.

Thus A and B leave invariant a copy of $\mathbf{H}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$ of polar vectors $\begin{bmatrix} cz_1 & z_2 & z_3 \end{bmatrix}^t$, where $z_1, z_2, z_3 \in \mathbb{C}$. For any other element $B' \in G$ of the form (26), by Lemma 3.3, $cg' \in \mathbb{C}$ and $g'c \in \mathbb{C}$. Since c is purely imaginary, Proposition 2.2 implies that $g' = r'c$ for some $r' \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$ and g' is purely imaginary. Since $c'g', g'c' \in \mathbb{C}$ by Corollary 3.4, $c' = r''g'$ for some $r'' \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$ by Proposition 2.2. Also, by a similar argument as above, we also have $a' \in \mathbb{R}$ using (3). Therefore, B' is written as

$$B' = \begin{bmatrix} a' & 0 & r'r''c \\ 0 & e' & 0 \\ r'c & 0 & l' \end{bmatrix},$$

where $e', l' \in \mathbb{C}$, $a' \in \mathbb{R}$, $r', r'' \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$, and c is purely imaginary. Then, for $z_1, z_2, z_3 \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$B' \begin{bmatrix} cz_1 \\ z_2 \\ z_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a' & 0 & r'r''c \\ 0 & e' & 0 \\ r'c & 0 & l' \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} cz_1 \\ z_2 \\ z_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c(a'z_1 + r'r''z_3) \\ e'z_2 \\ -r'|c|^2 z_1 + l'z_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} cz_1' \\ z_2' \\ z_3' \end{bmatrix},$$

for some $z_1', z_2', z_3' \in \mathbb{C}$. Therefore, every element of G preserves a copy of $\mathbf{H}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$ of polar vectors $\begin{bmatrix} cz_1 & z_2 & z_3 \end{bmatrix}^t$, where $z_1, z_2, z_3 \in \mathbb{C}$.

Acknowledgement. The second author thanks to John Parker for useful discussions during staying in Hunan University and Yeuping Jiang for the hospitality staying in Changsha.

References

[1] H. Cunha and N. Gusevskii, *A note on trace fields of complex hyperbolic groups*, Groups Geom. Dyn. 8 (2014), 355–374.

[2] X. Fu, L. Li and X. Wang, *A characterization of Fuchsian groups acting on complex hyperbolic spaces*, Czechoslovak Math. J. 62 (137) (2012), no. 2, 517–525.

[3] J. Genzmer, *Trace fields of subgroups of* $\mathrm{SU}(n, 1)$, Acta Math. Vietnam 39 (2014), no. 3, 313–323.

[4] D. Kim, *Discreteness criterions of isometric subgroups for quaternionic hyperbolic space*, Geom. Dedicata 106 (2004), 51–78.

[5] I. Kim and J. R. Parker, *Geometry of quaternionic hyperbolic manifolds*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 135 (2003), 291–320.

[6] J. Kim, *Quaternionic hyperbolic Fuchsian groups*, Linear Algebra Appl. 438 (2013), 3610–3617.

[7] J. Kim and S. Kim, *A characterization of complex hyperbolic Kleinian groups in dimension* 3 *with trace fields contained in* $\mathbb{R}$, Linear Algebra Appl. 455 (2014), 107–114.

[8] S. Kim and J. Kim, *Complex and quaternionic hyperbolic Kleinian groups with real trace fields*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) 93 (2016), no. 1, 101–122.

[9] D. B. McReynolds, *Arithmetic lattices in* $\mathrm{SU}(n, 1)$, Book in preparation, available at http://www.its.caltech.edu/∼dmcreyn/ComplexArithmeticI.pdf.

[10] W. D. Neumann and A. Reid, *Arithmetic of hyperbolic manifolds*, in Topology '90 (Columbus, OH, 1990), Ohio State University MSRI Publ. 1, de Gruyter, Berlin (1992), 273–310.

Department of Mathematics, Jeju National University, Jeju, 690-756, Republic of Korea
E-mail address: sungwoon@kias.re.kr

Joonhyung Kim, Department of Mathematics Education, Hannam University, 70 Hannam-ro, Daedeok-gu, Daejeon 306-791, Republic of Korea
E-mail address: calvary@snu.ac.kr